# WINCHESTER CITY COUNCIL DECISION RECORD VERSION 6. MAY 2024

Reference Number (taken from SharePoint entry) Click or tap here to enter text.

Officer Completing the Form: Camilla Sharp

### Lead Director: Dawn Adey

### Subject: UK Shared Prosperity and Rural England Prosperity Funds

**Details of Decision**: *Please provide a brief explanation as to what decision was made, including any financial implications. This should be done in easy to understand, non-technical language - as this wording will appear on the website for the public to read. Also please remember all staff will be able to see this document.* 

This decision record approves expenditure for UK Shared Prosperity Fund (UKSPF) and Rural England Prosperity Fund (REPF) we are now seeking a combined approval for total expenditure of £262,477 ( $\pounds$ 50,000 Revenue and £212,477 Capital). The approvals relate to several projects detailed in the tables below, the highest individual approval being £50,000.

### UKSPE

Winchester City Council was allocated £1m in UK Shared Prosperity Funding to disburse in rising tranches over the three financial years to March 2025. This decision record approves total expenditure approval of £105,000 (£55,000 capital and £50,000 revenue) for the projects detailed in the table below which have been considered and endorsed by the UK SPF/RF Partnership Board.

Project	Capital	Revenue	Total
External grants			
Sparsholt green skills - low carbon technology training bays	£35,000		£35,000
Sustrans Health Walks		£10,000	£10,000
Internal WCC projects			
Digital Skills for Residents - incl. equipment purchase	£20,000	£30,000	£50,000
Community Sport		£10,000	£10,000
TOTAL	£55,000	£50,000	£105,000
Funding awarded but subject to separate expenditure approval:			
North Walls - path resurfacing	£30,000		
St Giles Hill - fence replacement to prevent landslip	£30,000		
Friarsgate (CWR) - interim use	£191,391		

### <u>REPF</u>

In 2024/25, £42,075 has been brought forward from 2023/24 and an additional funding of £558,822 has been secured of which £391,175 has been received in June with the balance to be received following the closure of the fund subject to evidence of expenditure. This decision record approves the following external capital grants which have been reviewed and endorsed by the Partnership Board.

-
£25,000
£25,000
£18,478
£24,999
£15,000
£49,000
157,477

Type of Decision: (please tick. see reverse for definitions)		
□Key Decision (Executive) & Subject to Call-In (see section 2A on reverse of this form) Note, these decisions are pu winchester.gov.uk		
Significant Operational Decision (see section 2B (1) on reverse of this form). Note, these decisions are published winchester.gov.uk		
□ Other Decisions to be Published (see section 2B (2) on reverse of this form) Note, these decisions are published on winchester.gov.uk		
	□ Administrative Decision (see section 3 on reverse of this form)	

### Reason for the Decision: A brief overview of your reasons for taking this course of action.

In July 2022 the Council submitted to government a proposed investment plan for how it would allocate its £1.745m allocation of UK Shared & Rural England Prosperity fund to a range of interventions to support the national levelling up agenda through project investment in three priorities Communities & Place; Local Business and People & Skills. These proposals were considered, and allocations agreed by Cabinet in its July 2022 meeting (CAB3356) and (CAB3372). Government confirmed its grant allocation to the council on 6th April 2023 and this decision record approves the expenditure of a proportion of the funding as detailed in the "Details of Decision" section.

Where sums have been allocated to internal projects with either additional funding sources and/or other ongoing implications, these projects have been or will be approved in line with the usual governance process.

Alternative Options Considered & Rejected: All alternative options considered need to be outlined here. Please include detail of any representations received. This will include your response to any alternatives suggested by those making representation and the reasons why these alternatives were rejected.

The council could decide not to allocate all or some of the funding. However, any unspent allocation would need to be returned to government and the purpose of the grant would not be fully achieved.

**Supporting Information:** If your decision relates to delegated authority derived from a specific Committee resolution, please confirm the name of the Committee, the date of the meeting and paste the resolution into this box.

Cabinet considered the council UK Shared Prosperity Fund at its July 2022 meeting (CAB3356) and the Rural England Prosperity Fund at its November 2022 meeting (CAB337)2 including the proposed investment plan and expenditure profile across the funds delivery years of 2022/23 to 2024/25.

It agreed the recommendations to:

3. Delegate authority to the Corporate Head of Service: Economy & Communities, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Business and Culture, to take the necessary decisions and actions required to submit an investment plan and accept the allocation of funds.

4. Delegate authority to Corporate Head of Service: Economy & Communities to implement and administer the scheme and all related plans in accordance with the requirements and priorities of the prospectus and fund.

6. That authority be delegated to the Corporate Head of Service: Economy & Communities, in consultation with the S151 officer, to incur capital expenditure in accordance with the requirements of the Fund.

**Declared Officer and/or Member interests:** List any conflict of interest declared by any Cabinet Member who was consulted by the officer which relates to the decision and, in respect of any declared conflict of interest, any note of dispensation granted by the Monitoring Officer.

Departmental Review		
Legal review:	Tick this box to confirm legal team have reviewed proposed decision	Dan Toohey
Finance review:	Tick this box to confirm finance team have reviewed proposed decision	Neil Aitken
Other review:	Tick this box to confirm any other departmental review of proposed decision (and specify department)	Susan Robbins
Public Sector Equality Duty:	Tick this box to confirm you have considered the PSED requirements (see section 4 on reverse of this form)	

Are the details of the decision open or exempt?		
⊠Open		
□Part Exempt. Please expand		
Exempt. Please expand		
<b>Decision Taker (name)</b> : This needs to be the specific Officer or Cabinet Member who holds the delegation within the constitution/or referred to by a committee	Decision Taker (Signature):	Date:Click or tap to enter a date.
Liz Keys, Chief Finance Officer		11 June 2024
Call In dates (key decisions only) and Implementation date:		

Commencement of call in: (date)	Click here to enter a date. (Please refer to Dem Services for this)
Last date for call in: (date)	Click here to enter a date. (Please refer to Dem Services for this)
Planned Implementation Date:	Click here to enter a date.

## Notes.

### 1) Why record officer decisions?

The Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012 require local authorities to produce a written statement of an executive decision made by an officer as soon as is reasonably practicable after the decision is taken (see Paragraph 13). This written statement must include the information requested in the questions of the pro forma on the previous page.

### 2) What sort of decisions are there?

- a) **Key decisions**. A key decision is defined by Regulation 8 of the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012 as being an executive decision which is likely:
  - i. To result in the local authority incurring expenditure, which is or the making of savings which are significant having regard to the local authority's budget for the service or function to which the decision relates; (For Winchester City Council, the financial limit above which a decision is regarded as significant is £250,000 per year), or;
  - ii. To be significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards or electoral divisions in the area of the local authority.

A decision taker may only make a key decision in accordance with the requirements of the Cabinet Procedure Rules, Access to Information Procedure Rules (Part 4) and the Officer Scheme of Delegation (Part 3) of this Constitution.

- b) Non-Key Decisions. Officers will usually take non-key executive decisions. A non-key decision is an executive decision that does not meet either criterion of a key decision as laid out above. These decisions are divided into significant operational decisions and administrative decisions.
  - i. **Significant Operational (Non-Key) Decisions.** This is a decision in relation to a Council or executive function which is not a key decision and results in one of the following:
    - Revenue expenditure or making savings (including the receipt or loss of income) between £100,000 and £250,000 per year;
    - Capital expenditure (i.e. if they involve entering into new commitments and/or making savings) and/or contract awards of between £100,000 and £250,000
    - When, in the opinion of the Chief Executive, the Section 151 Officer or Monitoring Officer, a published record of the decision is required to provide openness and transparency.
    - A significant decision should be recorded in order to comply with Regulation 13 (Recording of executive decisions made by individuals) of The Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012 (No. 2089).
  - ii. **"Other" Decision.** Regulation 7 of the Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulation 2014 requires a written record to be produced as soon as reasonably practicable after an officer has made a decision under delegation which a) grants a permission or licence or b) affects the rights of an individual.
  - iii. Administrative Decision: (these do not require recording on this form unless one or more of the following applies)
    - i. There is a financial implication;
    - ii. It is in conflict with the Budget and Policy Framework or other approved policies approved by full Council, and
    - iii. It raises new issues of policy.
    - iv. It is a decision to alter the procurement evaluation model and meets certain thresholds see "help text"

### 3) Which officer decisions need to be recorded on this form?

Officers need to record:

- 1) any key decision, i.e., decisions that have a significant effect on 2 or more divisions, or have a cost/saving of £250,000 or more. (see 2A above)
- 2) any Significant Operational Decisions. (see 2B (1) above)
- 3) "Other" officer decisions regarding the granting of a permission or licence or that affect the rights of an individual (see 2B (2) above)
- 4) Administrative decisions for which meet the criteria in iii above, there is a financial implication

### 4) What are the relevant processes to be followed?

#### For all decisions, the report author needs to complete the Forthcoming Decisions record in Sharepoint.

Establish which type of decision it is (see 2 A and 2B above.)

Most officer decisions require to be recorded on this form (see 3 above) Once completed, please ensure that it is reviewed by legal, finance and the relevant Director prior to signing. Once approved, the form should be forwarded to Democratic Services for adding to the Council's website where it can be viewed by members of the public on the decisions page (unless it is an Administrative decision).

Public Sector Equality Duty. Contact your Corporate Head of Service should you require any clarification regarding the council's Public Sector Equality, including whether you may need to complete an impact assessment as part of this decision record.